

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/700,492	11/05/2003	Wan-Pao Chou	3226/21	6282
7590 10/01/2004			EXAMINER	
DENNISON,	SCHULTZ & DOUGH	HAN, JASON		
612 Crystal Squ				
1745 Jefferson Davis Highway			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Arlington, VA 22202-3417			2875	-

DATE MAILED: 10/01/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/700,492	CHOU, WAN-PAO			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Jason M Han	2875			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communicat or Reply	tion appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address			
A SH THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failu Any	IORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 r SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) date of the provided propers of the provided propers of the provided period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutor ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, reply received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TION. 7 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a nation. 1ys, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirt ry period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON by statute, cause the application to become AB	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed o	n <u>05 November 2003</u> .				
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposit	ion of Claims					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-3</u> is/are pending in the applic 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are v Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-3</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) <u>1</u> is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction	vithdrawn from consideration.				
Applicat	ion Papers					
9)🛛	The specification is objected to by the E	xaminer.				
10))☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
	Applicant may not request that any objection		···			
11)[Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the The oath or declaration is objected to by	·	• •			
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
а)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority doc Certified copies of the priority doc Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International	cuments have been received. cuments have been received in A he priority documents have been Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stage			
·	and the second s	2 or the defined deploy not				
Attachmen	nt(s)					
1) 🛛 Notic	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview S	summary (PTO-413)			
3) 🔲 Infor	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO- mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTC er No(s)/Mail Date	948) Paper No(s	s)/Mail Date formal Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/700,492 Page 2

Art Unit: 2875

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

1. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities:

- a. Page 1, Line 9: grammatical error change "in" to "on";
- b. Page 1, Line 13: change to read as "difficult in mounting or disassembling".

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Objections

- 2. Claim 1 is objected to because of the following informalities: "side walls" lack antecedent basis. Appropriate correction is required.
- 3. Claim 1 is further objected to because of the following informalities: "two blades at a forward side, two slits at a rear side" lacks antecedent basis and renders the claim vague and indefinite. It is unclear which side is the front and rear on the positioning member. Please further define the position of the blades and slit with respect to the positioning member. Appropriate correction is required.

The following rejections have been based upon a broad interpretation of the claims [MPEP 2111]. The applicant should be aware that the limitations failed to provide a specific rendering by the examiner.

Application/Control Number: 10/700,492 Page 3

Art Unit: 2875

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over
 Gabryelewicz (U.S. Patent 3840842) in view of Kemmerer et al. (U.S. Patent 3801951).
 With regards to Claim 1, Gabryelewicz teaches a modular lighting system,
 especially for signs and displays [Column 1, Lines 7-16], including:
 - a. A U-shaped elongated seat [Figure 15: (54); Column 3, Lines 60-65] with a lengthwise slot [Figure 15: (62); Lines 1-7] and two lengthwise grooves at both side walls [Figures 15 & 19: (64)];
 - b. A substantially parallelepiped positioning member [Figure 19: (70 & 80)] including two lengthwise side flanges [Figure 19: (72 & 82)] fitted in the grooves for coupling the position member and the seat together [Column 4, Lines 13-23 & 41-62], two blades at one end of the positioning member [Figure 10: 50; Figure 19: (76, 88); Column 5: Lines 35-38], two slits at the other end of the positioning member [Figures 10 & 19: (48a, 48b)], and a lamp threaded coupled thereon [Figures 10, 14, 19: (52)];
 - c. And wherein the blades and the slits of one unit are electrically coupled together, the lamp is electrically coupled to both the blades and the slits, the blades of one unit are adapted to insert into the slits of a second adjacent unit,

and the slits of one unit are adapted to receive the blades of a third adjacent unit [see Abstract].

Gabryelewicz does not specifically teach the seat of the modular lighting system being threadedly secured to a billboard.

Kemmerer discloses a track lighting system having a seat [Figure 4: (22)] being threadely secured [Figure 4: (14)] to a wall/ceiling.

It would have been obvious to modify the seat of Gabryelewicz to incorporate the threadedly securing means of Kemmerer in order to ensure a strong attachment to a wall or ceiling. Though not explicitly stated by Gabryelewicz, it should be obvious that it is attached by some means, and it is commonly known that a threaded means be used as a fastener.

- 6. With regards to Claim 2, Gabryelewicz teaches two units being able to be formed in an L-shape [Figures 1, 21, 22; Column 1, Lines 28-44].
- 7. With regards to Claim 3, Gabryelewicz teaches two units being able to be formed as a bent member unit with one unit being disposed about 45 degrees with respect to the other unit [Figures 21, 22].

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

The following references have been cited to further show the state of the art pertinent to the current application:

U.S. Patent 4096379 to Taylor;

Application/Control Number: 10/700,492

Art Unit: 2875

- U.S. Patent 4471415 to Larson et al.;
- U.S. Patent 4639841 to Salestrom et al.;
- U.S. Patent 4768964 to Zucchini;
- U.S. Patent 4999755 to Lin;
- U.S. Patent 5113329 to Lin;
- U.S. Patent 5559681 to Duarte;
- U.S. Patent 5607227 to Yasumoto et al.;
- U.S. Patent 6059582 to Tsai;
- U.S. Patent 6210189 to Gantt;
- U.S. Patent 6435697 to Simmons et al.;
- U.S. Patent 6659623 to Friend;
- U.S. Patent D486602 to Hines et al.;
- U.S. Patent 6739735 to Talamo et al.;
- U.S. Patent 3783543 to Hengren;
- U.S. Patent 4949487 to Kibarer.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jason M Han whose telephone number is (571) 272-2207. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00am-5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sandra O'Shea can be reached on (571) 272-2378. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

JMH

JOHN ANTHONY WARD PRIMARY EXAMINER